

Wisconsin ESEA Improving Teacher Quality Grant Proposal Evaluation Form

Project Director: _____ Amount Requested: _____

Points Awarded

1. Significance & Documentation of needs (10 points)

- How have K-12 teachers and staff been significantly involved in the selection of problem(s)?
- How have K-12 teachers and staff been significantly involved in the formulation of the solutions(s)?
- Is the nature and extent of partnership(s) clearly explained?
- Are needs documented?
- Are specific student/learner needs identified?

Comments

2. Project Goals and Objectives (30 points)

- Are the goals attainable?
- Are objectives specific, clearly stated, and measurable?
- How is this program expected to increase student achievement/learning?
- What difference would it make in classroom instruction?
- Are needs, goals, and objectives aligned with learning outcomes?

Comments

3. Design of Proposed Project Activities (30 points)

- Are the activities aligned with goals and objectives?
- Are the activities aligned with Wisconsin Model Academic Standards and Wisconsin Teacher Standards?
- Is a timeline included?
- Is this an innovative or experimental approach to accomplish the goals?
- Are the proposed project and activities based in scientific research?
- Are appropriate best practice models being used?
- Is each activity and its objective clearly defined?
- Is the person(s) responsible for each activity clearly identified?

Comments

4. Sustainability and follow-up (5 points) _____

Are there provisions for insuring that changes are sustained after project funding?

Are there plans for disseminating the content knowledge and methodology of the project to other audiences?

Comments

5. Evaluation (15 points) _____

Is there a detailed plan for assessing outcomes and overall success?

Is there a detailed plan to measure increased student achievement?

Is there a detailed plan to determine enhanced teacher quality?

Are the both formative and summative methods being used?

Is there an outside evaluator?

Comments

6. Activity Summary (5 points) _____

Does the activity table contain all rows and columns described in the RFP?

Is the person(s) responsible for each activity appropriately qualified?

Are the Indicators of Success tangible?

General Comments

7. Personnel, Budget, and Budget Narrative (5 points) _____

Are the personnel appropriately qualified to carry out the proposed activities?

Are the expenditures clearly defined?

Is there a clear explanation of all personnel costs, and how they were determined?

Comments

Points Sec. 1-6 _____/95

Points Sec. 7 _____/5

Total Points _____/100

Recommended YES MAYBE NO

Note: Members of the Proposal Review Committee use this form as they evaluate proposals. Selection of proposals for funding is in part based on the composite results of these evaluations.