

Embracing Change: A More Equitable Statewide Approach to College Placement in Arkansas

Dan Cullinan, MDRC Hollie Daniels Sarica, Community College Research Center

Measuring Students' Skills and Abilities

- Students arrive at college with different levels of academic preparation
- Colleges need a simple and efficient process to determine what students can do and to place them in the right courses
- Historically, colleges have relied on standardized tests
 - Accuplacer and Compass
 - SAT and ACT
 - "Home grown" tests

A lot can go wrong with standardized testing

- Students may have test anxiety
- Students may be "rusty,"
 especially if they have been out of
 high school for a while
- Students may not appreciate the consequences of a poor test score
- Tests may reinforce cultural and racial bias
- Material on tests may not align with what students need to know for their chosen majors and careers



But there is an alternative: MMA

 Multiple: more than one indicator is used to assess student readiness for college-level courses in math and English

Measures:

- most commonly High School GPA
- traditional placement tests
- background questions
- course-taking history
- other assessments
- Assessment: course placement is usually determined by cutoff scores or combinations thereof



Reforming **Assessment into** Developmental **Education and Building the** Research Base

January 2021-2024

Project Description

- With support from Ascendium Education Group, CAPR aimed to facilitate the statewide expansion of the use of MMA in Arkansas and Texas through:
 - Helping stakeholders understand the predictive utility of available college-readiness measures;
 - Documenting conditions that facilitate or hinder successful implementation of MMA; and
 - Assessing costs of MMA
- We partnered with the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, Arkansas Division of Higher Education, Arkansas Community Colleges, and 13 open-access institutions

Predictive Analysis: Data

Data sources:

- 13 data colleges' transcript files and other available measures
- Additional measures from ADE Data Warehouse (Arkansas) and Education Research Center (Texas)

Sample:

- All students at data colleges who enrolled in college-level math in last five years
- All students at data colleges who enrolled in college-level English in last five years



Predictive Analysis: Takeaways

- The predictive utility of placement measures is similar in Arkansas and Texas to that found in other states and systems
 - High school GPA is the best single observable predictor of success in college-level English and math courses without additional supports
 - Using multiple measures in addition to high school GPA only marginally improves those predictions
 - These findings are robust across most subgroups

Implementation and Cost Findings



Implementation Research: Questions and Data

 How are colleges adopting MMA practices? What conditions facilitate or hinder the implementation of an MMA system?

Data Collection Activities:

- Attended planning meetings with states and colleges
- Reviewed action plans and process maps
- Conducted 22 interviews and/or focus groups at 12 of the 13 participating colleges across Arkansas and Texas

Implementation Research: Findings

 Challenge: Faculty and staff often raise questions about the reliability of high school GPA and other indicators as measures of college readiness

 Solution: Dissemination of context-specific information about the predictability and accuracy of MMA generated buyin among faculty and staff

Implementation Research: Findings

 Challenge: Incorporating additional data points into placement practices often requires college to develop new procedures for collecting, storing, and accessing relevant data, and can introduce new and unanticipated challenges

 Solution: Proactively liaising with high schools and automating aspects of the placement system facilitated the collection and use of alternative measures



Implementation Research: Findings

 Challenge: Implementation is time consuming and requires multiple staff

 Solution: Collaboration between departments and flexibility of faculty and staff helped colleges implement MMA

Cost Research Questions & Methods

- In each state, what is the average cost, per college, of expanding and implementing MMA systems at the time of the implementation study?
 - CAPR weighted reported hours by reported wages plus overhead and categorized these amounts by faculty and staff members' role in implementation.
- What is the average cost by personnel category?
 - These costs were then averaged in each state by personnel category to provide insight into the typical levels of effort by role in each state.

Cost Analysis: Results

- Personnel expenses were the predominant cost of MMA implementation, but the staff members involved—and the amount of time they contributed—varied by state.
 - In Arkansas, the **registrar** had the **most important role** in the process, with the greatest time commitment to MMA implementation.
 - In Texas, the bulk of the work was carried out by administrators and advisors.

Cost Analysis: Results

- Data systems and state policy may create conditions that require different staff members to **change the processes** underlying course placement.
- In both states, costs were **relatively low**, especially when considering the large number of students going through the placement systems.
- Given the positive evidence base for MMA from previous studies, these costs are likely **justified** by improved student outcomes.

Activity

Turn and Talk

- Turn to those around you and discuss the following:
 - What challenges or hurdles might be faced in the adoption of MMA as an alternative placement system?
 - What benefits or opportunities do you associate with MMA?

Panel



Panelists



Mason Campbell
Arkansas Division of
Higher Education



Sharon Fox
NorthWest Arkansas
Community College



Tracy Harrell
Arkansas Division of
Higher Education

Q&A



Thank you!

The Center for the Analysis of Postsecondary Readiness (CAPR) is funded through a grant (R305C140007) from the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.